



This Meeting was held by Zoom due to Covid 19 restrictions

Draft UNCONFIRMED MINUTES of Planning Meeting held on: Monday August 10th 2020

Cllrs present: Neil (Chair) Warnes, Woollen, Coney, Clerk Sue Hodder and Dep Clerk Karen Ray
District Councillors: David Ashcroft and Ken Carter

Members of public: No Wey Incinerator group Mark Weldon, Ben Stanbury and Mike Blanche

20/037	Apologies for Absence Cllr Retallack, Cllr Brooks, Cllr Melvin
20/038	Chairman's Announcements:
20/039	Declarations of Interests
20/040	Questions from the public (including those received by post or e-mail) None
20/041	<p><u>Applications Received</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HCC 33619/007 Neighbouring Parish Application Proposal: Energy Recovery Facility Location: Veolia Environmental Services, Alton GU34 4JD Presentation given by Ben Stanbury of N W I Points presented were: No Need, No Benefits, Wrong Location, Environmental Damage, Heavy Traffic Discussion amongst Council came to conclusion of unanimous OBJECTION (see appendix 1) 2. SDNP/20/01506/FUL Proposal: Redevelopment of an existing B1c industrial use with a single dwelling (additional information and amended plans received 05.06.2020 also 21.07.2020) Location: Pear Tree Barn, The Straits, Kingsley, Bordon GU35 9NT OBJECTION BPC objects on the grounds of size, mass, bulk, it will be an intrusion on the rural landscape as the height of the proposed building is 7+ metres whereas the existing buildings are no more than 4 metres. Also, it is overdevelopment of the site as the garden area will be small and out of keeping with those properties nearby. It is also of a larger footprint to the existing buildings again overdevelopment. The plan showing existing building is in fact the proposed house 3. 26304/009 <u>NOTIFICATION OF PRE-DECISION AMENDMENT</u> Location: Tweenways, Station Road, Bentley GU10 5JZ Proposal: Two detached dwellings and associated parking plus landscaping Following demolition of existing dwelling (as amended by new site plan Amended 24/07/2020) Amendment Details: Amended site plan with visibility splays/ Fence/hedge to plot 1 recessed into the site.

	<p style="text-align: center;">NO OBJECTION</p> <p>4. 56651/002 <u>Prior Notification of Agricultural Development – Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015</u> Location: Dutch barn, Isington Road, Binsted GU34 4PH Proposal: Prior Approval – Both buildings are designed to BS 5950 EN 1090 Formally BS5502 agricultural building class 1. Building 2 will be a farm Office, and storage for portable tools and miscellaneous equipment with staff welfare facilities. NO OBJECTION</p> <p>5. 51163/010 Prior Approval for a Change of Use from Premises in Light Industrial Use (Class B1(c)) and any land within its curtilage to Dwelling houses (Class C3) Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) Order 2016 Proposal: Notification of prior approval for a change of use from light Industrial (class B1c) to four residential dwelling houses (Class c3) Location: Malms Farm, Binsted Road, Binsted Alton GU34 4NT COMMENTS REMAIN UNCHANGED</p> <p>6. 34289/002 Location: Wiltoncroft, Fullers Road, Rowledge GU10 4LB Proposal: Two storey extension to detached garage NO OBJECTION</p> <p><u>Appeals</u> Planning Inspectorate Reference: APP/Y9507/W/20/3252981 SDNP/19/04243/FUL Location: Walnut Cottage, Main Road, BHO GU10 4LT Proposal: Detached dwelling to south side of Walnut Cottage (amended)</p>
20/042	Chairman's closing remarks None

Signed by Chairman _____

Dated.....

<http://planningpublicaccess.southdowns.gov.uk/online-applications/>
www.easthants.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Application no. HCC 33619/007 (Neighbouring Parish Application)

Proposal: Energy Recovery Facility

Location: Veolia Environmental Services, Alton GU34 4JD

The decision of BPC was to unanimously OBJECT to the application on the following grounds:

1. The proposal will have an enormous visual impact on the beautiful countryside area. Any amount of screening will not lessen the impact
2. The proposal is within approx. 1000m of the SDNP. The National Park has strong guidelines as to what should be developed within proximity of their boundaries. This application flies in the face against the SDNP policies
3. SDNP promote an International Dark Skies Reserve. A commercial building running 24 hours per day, 7 days a week will have a severe impact on the local low light adjoining areas
4. The increased traffic movements and road design will undoubtedly have an affect not just on the A31 and other major roadways to the site but more importantly lorries cutting across country and travelling through the local country villages This will happen
5. The toxins and pollution being discharged although assured by Veolia that are safe and filtered, life's experiences indicate systems fail. Toxins over adjacent farming land what effects long term can we expect to see in our farm produce and livestock? Possible cancerous toxins
6. We are told by good authority that some lorries will do between 200-400 miles in a round trip in delivering waste per day. Lorries doing only 7-9 miles per gallon, even with the latest "clean grade 6", lorries will be providing masses of additional CO2 into the atmosphere
7. We note that the new building proposals are to be excavated deep into the local substructure. Failure of basement construction, which is not uncommon, will result

in bacteria and poisons getting into the local flood plains & possible the river Wey. Local farms and some residents feed from these natural water supplies. At what risk to them?

8. With the government's view that we should be reducing our CO2 levels to about zero levels over the next decade, how can this project be considered. During the demolition and construction period, where will the current recycling operations be fulfilled. We should be encouraging recycling, not discussing it for any easy "get rid of" proposal for waste, most being commercial.
9. Our observations and reading of other professional opinions on this application, contrary to Veolia's statements we do not see how energy benefits are going to be gained into the national grid system without further superstructure construction and unsuited buildings and for very little return
10. In conclusion we feel that the proposals are more for commercial capital gain than a national benefit and requirement to society